COMMAND INSPECTION PROGRAM EXCEPTIONS DOCUMENT

| Page | 1 | of | 3 |
|------|---|----|---|
| | | | |

| Command: Northern Division | Division: Northern | Chapter: Seven (HPG) |
|----------------------------------|-----------------------|-------------------------|
| Inspected by: Lieu | tenant Todd Morrison | Date: May 15, 2010 |

| | Inspection docume | on number. Under "Forwa ent shall be utilized to doc | ard to:" enter the nex ument innovative pr | |
|--|--------------------|---|---|--|
| TYPE OF INSPECTION ☐ Division Level ☐ Command L ☐ Executive Office Level | ₋evel | Total hours expended inspection: | d on the | ☐ Corrective Action Plan Included ☐ Attachments Included |
| Follow-up Required: | Forwa | rd to: | | |
| ☐ Yes X No | Due D | ate: | | |
| Chapter Inspection: Inspector's Comments Regarned None. Command Suggestions for Sonone. | | | : | |
| Inspector's Findings: | | | | |
| Northern Division is in complia Chapter Inspection. No discre | | | • | |
| Commander's Response: 🗵 | Concu | ır or □ Do Not Con | cur (Do Not Cond | cur shall document basis for response) |
| civilian employees respectively with the Department's Organiz | y. All e ationa | employees are super I Values and CHP | ervised and trea Pride. Departm | lity supervision of our officers and ated with respect, in compliance nental training is kept current as d when necessary and/or as it |

becomes available.

COMMAND INSPECTION PROGRAMEXCEPTIONS DOCUMENT

Page 2 of 3

| Command: Northern | Division: Northern | Chapter: Seven (HPG) |
|----------------------|-----------------------|-------------------------|
| Division | | |
| Inspected by: Lieu | tenant Todd Morrison | Date: May 15, 2010 |

| Inspector's Comments: | Shall address non | concurrence by command | er (e.g. | , findings re | evised, | findings | unchanged, |
|-----------------------|-------------------|------------------------|----------|---------------|---------|----------|------------|
| etc.) | | | | | | | |

None.

COMMAND INSPECTION PROGRAMEXCEPTIONS DOCUMENT

Page 3 of 3

| Command: | Division: | Chapter: |
|--------------------|-----------------------|--------------------|
| Northern | Northern | Seven (HPG) |
| Division | | |
| Inspected by: Lieu | itenant Todd Morrison | Date: May 15, 2010 |

| Required Action | |
|---|--|
| المصنصول لتقرأ وتعديا وماله يغيبوا المستساكين | |
| Corrective Action Plan/Timeline | |
| No corrective action is needed at this time. | |

| Employee would like to discuss this report with the reviewer. (See HPM 9.1, Chapter 8 for appeal procedures.) | commander's signature | DATE (a - 10 - 10 |
|--|---------------------------|-------------------|
| (SSS III III OII GIIAPES SISI APPEAL PISSONISSI) | INSPECTOR'S SIGNATURE | DATE (0 -10 -10 |
| Reviewer discussed this report with employee Do not concur | REVIEWER'S SIGNATURE BELL | DATE 6-11-10 |

AREA MANAGEMENT EVALUATION SUPERVISION AND TRAINING

CHP 453G (Rev. 5-06) OPI 009

| AREA | DIVISION | NUMBER |
|--------------------------|----------|-------------|
| Northern Division | Northern | 101 |
| EVALUATED BY | | DATE |
| Lieutenant Todd Morrison | | May 5, 2010 |

INSTRUCTIONS: Indicate items reviewed by placing a check in the "Evaluated" box and/or the "Action Required" box. If this form is used as a Correction Report, the "Correction" box should be initialed and dated as deficiencies are corrected. Answer individual items with "yes" or "no" answers, or fill in the blanks as indicated. If additional comments are necessary, the information can be placed on the CHP 454, Area Management Evaluation Supplement. The Supplement should include significant findings, accomplishments or corrective actions, unresolved items, problems or progress, and the evaluator's overall impressions. This form can be completed in pen or pencil, and the Supplement can be handwritten if desired.

| TYPE OF EVALUATION | SUSPENSE DATE | | | |
|---|------------------------------|------------------------|-------------|--|
| ☐ Formal Evaluation ☑ Informal Evaluation | | | | |
| FOLLOW-UP REQUIRED ☐ Correction Report ☐ Yes ✓ No | H- Joseph | muber, | May 15, | 2010 |
| BY | Cept. tran | ACTION REQUIRED | CORRECTED | The state of the s |
| 1. GENERAL | Yes | No | CONTRACTOR | 10 |
| a. Does the Area work force consist of employees, supervisors and addressed in GO 0.8, Professional Values? | managers who support | the principles | ✓ Yes | □No |
| (1) Are the employees capable of performing and maintaining e | ssential services to the p | oublic? | ✓ Yes | □No |
| (2) Are upward mobility and career development programs and | training available to inter | ested employees? | ✓ Yes | □No |
| b. Do supervisors at all levels assume responsibility for the develop | ment and training of thei | ir employees? | ✓ Yes | □No |
| (1) Do supervisors review and assess specific training needs wi | th employees annually? | | ✓ Yes | □No |
| (a) Is this review done in conformance with the department | al Out-Service Training F | Plan? | ✓ Yes | □No |
| c. Do employees assist in their training assessment by helping support and weaknesses? | ervisors identify their stre | engths | ✓ Yes | □No |
| (1) Do employees seek information on training opportunities to i | mprove their job perform | nance? | ✓ Yes | □No |
| (2) Do employees initiate their own career development plan? | | | ✓ Yes | □No |
| (3) Do employees utilize the knowledge, skills, and abilities they | have acquired through | training? | ✓ Yes | □No |
| 2. LIEUTENANTS (OTHER THAN COMMANDERS) | Yes Yes | No REQUIRED | CORRECTED | |
| a. What are the commander's plans for developing Area lieutenants | ? Lieutenants assigned | to Northern Division a | re assigned | diverse |
| assignments requiring self-development to become subject matter | er experts in respective of | luties. | | |
| (1) Are the plans in writing? | | | ✓ Yes | □No |
| (2) Is there meaningful guidance, direction, and assistance provindividual career development plans? | ded to lieutenants in the | formulation of their | ✓ Yes | □No |
| (3) Does the commander work with the lieutenants to structure a experience that will contribute most to the accomplishment o of the Department? | | | ✓ Yes | □No |
| (a) Do the lieutenants have a career development plan base follow-up reports? | ed on their assessment o | center | ☐Yes | ✓ No |
| (b) Does the commander use the lieutenant's career develor and make meaningful comments on annual performance | | needed training | ✓ Yes | □No |
| (5) Are lieutenants encouraged to participate in self-initiating act | ivities such as continuin | g college-level | | |
| education, public speaking training (e.g., Toastmasters), prof affiliation, etc.? | | organization | ✓ Yes | □No |

DEPARTMENT OF CALIFORNIA HIGHWAY PATROL

AREA MANAGEMENT EVALUATION SUPERVISION AND TRAINING

| | (6) | Do | lieutenants' annual evaluations contain comments on the upward mobility? | ir managerial potential a | and their desires on | ✓ Yes | □No |
|------|-------|--------|--|------------------------------|-------------------------|--------------|--------------|
| | | (a) | How does the commander train the lieutenants for commander trains the lieutenants for | nand responsibility? | The Northern Division c | ommander | assigns |
| | | | projects to respective Northern Division lieutenants dis | rectly related to Area co | mmand responsibilities, | , and they a | are assigned |
| | | | acting commander assignments when necessary. | | | | |
| | | (b) | Are the lieutenants submitting completed staff work? | | | ✓ Yes | □No |
| | | (c) | Are the lieutenants involved in coordination with other ag | gencies in the criminal ju | ustice system? | ✓ Yes | □No |
| | | (d) | Are the lieutenants participating in Headquarters career | development assignme | nts? | ✓ Yes | □No |
| b | . Are | e lieu | tenants given freedom to manage their respective operati | ons? | | ✓ Yes | □No |
| | (1) | Are | the lieutenants effective supervisors? | | | ✓ Yes | □No |
| | (2) | Are | the lieutenants developing managerial skills in subordina | te supervisors? | | ✓ Yes | □No |
| | (3) | Are | the lieutenants well-organized in their work? | | | ✓ Yes | □No |
| | | (a) | Do they maintain files to assist in evaluations? | | | ✓ Yes | □No |
| | | (b) | Do they plan and make effective use of time? | | | ✓ Yes | □No |
| | | (c) | Do they work closely with subordinates? | | | ✓ Yes | □No |
| | | (d) | Do they foresee problems and plan for them? | | | ✓ Yes | □No |
| | | (e) | Do they have an "open door" policy that does not circum | vent the sergeant's aut | nority? | ✓ Yes | □No |
| 3. S | ERG | EAN' | rs | EVALUATED Yes | ACTION REQUIRED | CORRECTED |) |
| a | | | ergeant's role as an essential member of the command's tood? | management team wel | -defined and | ✓ Yes | □No |
| | (1) | | es Area use the sergeant as part of the management tear nd agree on priorities? | n and ensure all have a | good understanding | ✓ Yes | □No |
| | | (a) | Do the sergeants maximize their on-the-road field super | vision time? | | ✓ Yes | □No |
| | | (b) | Do the sergeants properly apply management philosoph | ies and supervisory skil | ls? | ✓ Yes | □No |
| | | (c) | Do the sergeants promote a positive environment condusubordinates? | cive to counseling and | notivating | ✓ Yes | □No |
| | (2) | Do 1 | the sergeants assist in the development of their subordin | ates? | | ✓ Yes | □No |
| | | (a) | After officers with supervisory potential are identified, wh | at is done to develop th | at potential? They are | given proj | ects and |
| | | | assignments to develop respective weaknesses, and util | lized as Officer-In-Char | ge during sergeant abse | nces, vaca | tions, etc. |
| | (3) | Are | the sergeants able to direct the activities of subordinates | to accomplish Area and | d departmental goals? | ✓ Yes | □No |
| | | (a) | Do the sergeants' actions show a willingness to become | involved? | | ✓ Yes | □No |
| | | (b) | Do the sergeants know when to act, when to delegate, a | nd when to refer to a su | perior? | ✓ Yes | □No |
| | (4) | Are | sergeants available at the beginning and at the end of sh | lift in the office, and in t | ne field during shift? | ✓ Yes | □No |
| | | (a) | If on an alternate workweek, are the sergeants able to p | rovide adequate superv | isory coverage? | ✓ Yes | □No |
| | (5) | Is th | ere an established system for sergeants' ride-alongs? | | | ✓ Yes | □No |
| | | | | | | | |

DEPARTMENT OF CALIFORNIA HIGHWAY PATROL

AREA MANAGEMENT EVALUATION SUPERVISION AND TRAINING

| | (a) | Are sergeants conducting ride-alongs as required? | ✓ Yes | □No |
|------|------|---|-------------|-----------------|
| | (b) | How are ride-alongs documented? On officers CHP 100 forms and sergeants CHP 112 forms | | |
| (6) | | here a written order addressing supervisory observation of court testimony and the courtroom neanor of officers? | ☐ Yes | ✓ No |
| | (a) | How is courtroom observation documented? On officer CHP 100 forms and sergeants CHP 112/commo | endable inc | eident reports |
| | (b) | Has courtroom procedures/testimony training been provided for officers? | ☐ Yes | ✓ No |
| (7) | Wh | at policy does Area have for review of reports? All reports are reviewed by respective supervisors and a | nanagers a | s required. |
| | | | | |
| | (a) | How often do sergeants review and, if necessary, discuss reports with officers? All reports are reviewed | d, with sub | sequent |
| | | follow-up discussions with respective officers as necessary. | | |
| | (b) | If special duty officers review reports, are deficient and/or superior reports brought to the attention of the supervisors? | ☐Yes | ✓ No |
| | (c) | Do supervisors utilize matrix reports as well as hands-on inspection of documents? | ☐Yes | ☑ No |
| (8) | Do | sergeants respond to incidents involving damage to state equipment or injury to personnel? | ✓ Yes | □No |
| | (a) | Do they assist with felony arrests or respond to physical arrest incidents? | ✓ Yes | □No |
| | (b) | Do they respond to specific types of accidents? (If yes, specify.) | ✓ Yes | □No |
| | | Sergeants respond if requested or if deemed necessary, and to all accidents involving Division emp | loyees. | |
| | (c) | What role do sergeants assume at accident scenes? Incident command as appropriate; however, Divi | ision serge | ants do not |
| | | routinely respond to traffic collisions, and primarily function in support roles when requested by Area | s or allied | agencies. |
| | (d) | Are sergeants aware of MAIT call-out criteria? | ✓ Yes | □No |
| | (e) | How many times has a sergeant been "called-out" to an accident in the past year? None, other than M. | AIT. | |
| (9) | Are | daily briefings held for each shift? | ☐ Yes | ☑ No |
| | (a) | Are briefings interesting and meaningful, with the supervisor in control? | ✓ Yes | □No |
| | (b) | How are briefing items and attendance documented and filed for future reference? In a briefing book. | Additiona | Illy, pertinent |
| | | information is also disseminated via e-mail and hardcopy attachments when needed or deemed appropriate | oriate. | |
| | (c) | How are special duty officers briefed? N/A | | |
| | | | | |
| (10) |) Wł | nat methods do sergeants use to plan their goals for the month (e.g., planning calendar)? Sergeants mai | ntain respe | ective |
| | pla | nning calendars and utilize in-house data bases to manage their respective Northern Division Units. The | ey also cor | nduct regular |
| | sta | ff meetings as needed to brief their employees accordingly. | | |
| (11) |) Do | sergeants participate in Public Affairs activities? | ✓ Yes | □ No |
| | (a) | Have they received public speaking training from their commander? | Yes | ✓ No |
| (12) |) Do | newly promoted or transferred sergeants receive proper orientation? | ✓ Yes | □No |
| (13) |) Do | the sergeants have a good working knowledge of policies and procedures affecting their assignment? | ✓ Yes | □ No |

DEPARTMENT OF CALIFORNIA HIGHWAY PATROL

AREA MANAGEMENT EVALUATION SUPERVISION AND TRAINING

| | | , | | | | | |
|----|--------|-------|---|---------------------------|----------------------------|-------------|-------------|
| | | (a) | How do sergeants keep current on additions or revisions | s to policy? All new de | partmental publications | are routed | to Division |
| | | | supervisors and released on-line publications are review | wed accordingly. | | | |
| | | (b) | Are the sergeants knowledgeable about current topics s affirmative action, civil liability, etc.? | uch as collective bargai | ning, | ✓ Yes | □No |
| | | (c) | Do the sergeants expedite training/briefing of recent cha | nges for subordinates? | | ✓ Yes | □No |
| 4. | OFFIC | ERS | | EVALUATED Yes | ACTION REQUIRED | CORRECTED | |
| | a. Doe | es Aı | rea have a formal orientation training program? | | | ✓ Yes | □No |
| | (1) | Doe | es a supervisor oversee this program? | | | ✓ Yes | □No |
| | (2) | Are | departmental guidelines followed for field orientation train | ning? | | ✓ Yes | □No |
| | (3) | Are | Area field training officers (FTOs) departmentally qualified | ed? N/A | | ☐ Yes | □No |
| ł | o. Did | Area | a adequately identify their needs when planning their train | ning program? N/A | | ☐ Yes | □No |
| | (1) | Has | an effective training program plan been developed? | | | ✓ Yes | □No |
| | | (a) | Does it reflect both current and future needs? | | | ✓ Yes | □No |
| | | (b) | Is training scheduled far enough ahead to assure contin | uity, yet flexible enough | for changing needs? | ✓ Yes | □No |
| | | (c) | Are plans regularly updated? | | | ✓ Yes | □No |
| | (2) | Wh | o is responsible for training? Officer Jon Tomlinson ser | ves as the Northern Div | ision Training Officer. | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | (a) | Is this person effective? | , | | ✓ Yes | □No |
| | | (b) | Are guest speakers and other instructors regularly scheen | duled? | | ☐ Yes | ☑ No |
| | | (c) | Are critiques used to ensure only the best presentations | are scheduled? | | ☐ Yes | ✓ No |
| | | (d) | How does Area identify personnel whose expertise may | qualify them as an instr | ructor? Northern Divis | ion conduc | ts formal |
| | | | interviews of prospective officers to serve in this capacitation | eity. | | | |
| | (3) | Wha | at methods are used by Area to establish training needs? | Division supervisors | are directly involved and | d responsib | ole for |
| | | ide | ntifying respective training needs for assigned officers. | Methods include review | of submitted staff work | to identif | y writing |
| | | def | iciencies, attendance at range to ensure individual profic | iency is maintained, and | d through daily observat | ion of emp | loyee |
| | | per | formance. | | | | |
| | | (a) | Do training topics appear relevant? | | | ✓ Yes | □No |
| | | (b) | Are training results objectively evaluated on a regular ba | asis? | | ✓ Yes | □No |
| | c. Wh | no is | responsible for specialized training with the Area? Resp | ective supervisors are re | esponsible for identifyin | g and imp | lementing |
| | spe | ciali | zed training. Also, Northern Division has two officers w | ho's assigned responsib | oilities include oversight | of training | g needs. |
| | (1) | Are | all officers proficient with cameras? | | | ✓ Yes | □No |
| | | (a) | If not, are enough trained to meet operational needs? | | | ✓ Yes | □No |
| | | (b) | Is refresher training provided periodically? | | | ☐ Yes | ✓ No |
| | | | | | | | |

DEPARTMENT OF CALIFORNIA HIGHWAY PATROL AREA MANAGEMENT EVALUATION **SUPERVISION AND TRAINING**

| 00. | | 1010 | 14 / 11 | 10 | | |
|-------|------|-------|---------|-----|-----|--|
| CHP 4 | 453G | (Rev. | 5-06) | OPI | 009 | |

| | 1000 | (1101 | v. 0 00) 01 1 000 | | | | |
|------|---|-------|---|---------------------|-----------------------------------|-------------|-----------|
| | | (c) | Who reviews photographs when they are returned? | Respective supervi | sors. | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | (d) | ls a specific individual responsible for camera mainten | ance? | | ☐ Yes | ✓ No |
| | (2) | ls c | one specific person responsible for Defensive Driver Tra | ining? PERFORM | EN BY UNIT SUPERVISORS | Yes | ✓ No |
| | (a) Has Area complied with driver training requirements outlined in HPM 10.6, Occupational Safety Manual? | | | | 6, Occupational | ✓ Yes | □No |
| | (3) | Are | e there any special needs in the Area? | | | Yes | ☑ No |
| | | (a) | If so, has any special training been provided in those a | areas? | | ☐ Yes | ✓ No |
| | (4) | Are | e all officers currently certified in CPR? | | | ✓ Yes | □No |
| | | (a) | Is annual training conducted on schedule? | | | ✓ Yes | □No |
| d. | ls o | ne s | specific person responsible for training records? | | | ✓ Yes | □No |
| | (1) | ls a | a training chart utilized to record all training conducted in | the Area? | 9 | ☐ Yes | ✓ No |
| | (2) | If a | training chart is not used, what type of system is utilize | d by the Area? A | ll training is entered into the E | mployee T | raining |
| | | Re | cords System. | | | | |
| | (3) | Are | In-Service training records complete and current? | | | ✓ Yes | □No |
| | | (a) | Have officers new to the Area been added to the recor | rds? | | ✓ Yes | □No |
| | (4) | Are | e records of individual officers current? | | | ✓ Yes | □No |
| . N | ONUI | NIFC | DRMED | Yes Yes | ACTION REQUIRED No | CORRECTE |) |
| a. | Wh | at sp | pecial training has been planned for nonuniformed empl | oyees? Nonunifo | rmed employees receive applic | able traini | ng at |
| | qua | rterl | ly staff meetings, in addition to mandated departmental | training. | | | |
| b. | ls th | here | a planned orientation for new employees? | | | ✓ Yes | □No |
| | (1) | ls th | he departmental orientation guide for new employees b | eing utilized? | | ✓ Yes | □No |
| | (2) | Hav | ve new employees reviewed the video, "Spirit of Excelle | nce"? | | ✓ Yes | □No |
| . E\ | VALU | JATI | ON PROCESS | Yes Yes | No No | CORRECTE | 0 |
| a. | Wh | at m | ethods are utilized to assure sergeants have sufficient s | supervision time wi | ith the officers they evaluate? | All North | em Divisi |
| | offi | icers | s and sergeants are assigned to the same shift/work hour | rs. As such, super | visors afforded time needed to | adequately | evaluate |
| | em | ploy | ree performance. | | | | |
| | | (4) | Are evaluation assignments equitable? | | | ✓ Yes | □No |
| | | (1) | | | | | CT No. |
| | | | Are evaluations done on schedule? | | | ✓ Yes | □No |

DEPARTMENT OF CALIFORNIA HIGHWAY PATROL

AREA MANAGEMENT EVALUATION SUPERVISION AND TRAINING

| | _ | | | | | |
|------|---|---|---------------------------|---------------------------|--------------|------|
| b. | Wh | at records do the supervisors keep on the employees the | ey supervise? Respec | tive CHP 100 forms. | | |
| | (4) | A | rovido o basis for ovalu | ations? | ✓ Yes | □No |
| _ | (1) | Are significant matters recorded and filed regularly to pr | | auons? | ✓ Yes | □No |
| | | (a) Do records have a good balance of positive and negative comments? | | | | |
| | (2) | (2) Do all documents and comments comply with the Peace Officers' Bill of Rights? | | | | |
| | (3) | Do all supervisors contribute to the records? | | | ✓ Yes ✓ Yes | □No |
| | (4) | Are similar records kept of supervisor's efforts? | | | | □No |
| c. | Are | evaluations realistic, objective, and meaningful? | | | ✓ Yes | ☐ No |
| | (1) Are evaluations consistent in the rating process? | | | | | □No |
| | (2) | (2) Is there continuous and thorough documenting of performance at all command levels? | | | | □No |
| | (3) | (3) Do employees feel their evaluations assist them? | | | | □No |
| | (4) | Are comments in the evaluation in keeping with their ov | erall importance? Yes. | | | |
| | (5) | Is the performance objective monitored, with proper rec | ognition given? | | ✓ Yes | □No |
| | (6) | Does the Area have a procedure to test the effectiveness of evaluations? | | | ✓ Yes | □No |
| | (7) |) Is the commander satisfied with the Area's evaluation process? | | | | □No |
| | (8) | Does the commander have a clear understanding of his | s/her role in the perform | nance appraisal process? | ✓ Yes | □ No |
| . IN | ITER | IM REPORTS | Yes | ACTION REQUIRED | CORRECTED | |
| а. | Are | interim reports utilized as appropriate? | | A STATE | ✓ Yes | □No |
| | (1) | Do supervisors understand the procedures for issuing them? | | | | |
| | (2) | Were all other appropriate supervisory techniques used interim reporting? | ✓ Yes | □No | | |
| b. | Are | interim reports periodically updated and discussed with | the employee? | | ✓ Yes | □No |
| | (1) | Do interim reports discuss the problem(s) in specifics at | ✓ Yes | □No | | |
| | (2) | Are definite methods outlined to achieve satisfactory performance? | | | | □No |
| | (3) | Are controls and follow-up present? | | | ✓ Yes | □No |
| | (4) | Is the plan of action fully discussed with the employee? | | | | □No |
| | (5) | If satisfactory performance is not achieved within the sp taken? | pecified time frames, is | further corrective action | ✓ Yes | □No |
| . IN | ICIDE | ENT REPORTS (CHP 2) | Yes | ACTION REQUIRED No | CORRECTED | iii |
| a. | Are | local controls over CHP 2s reasonable? | | | ✓ Yes | □No |
| | (1) | (1) Who can issue them? Supervisors and their respective superiors. | | | | |
| | (2) | 2) How are they filed? They are filed in respective personnel folders and forwarded through the chain-of-co | | | | |

AREA MANAGEMENT EVALUATION SUPERVISION AND TRAINING

| VIII | | (1.64. 6 66) 61. 666 | | | | |
|------|------|--|--|--------------------------|--------------|----------------|
| | (3) | Are they available for supervisor's review? | | | ✓ Yes | □No |
| | (4) | Who assures a proper relationship in the recognition of commendable and censurable incidents? | | | COMMANDEIZ | |
| b. | Are | e incident reports properly worded? | ✓ Yes | □No | | |
| | (1) | Do they state the subject in plain, concise language? | | | ✓ Yes | □No |
| | (2) | When appropriate, do they set goals and provide meaningfu | direction? | | ✓ Yes | □No |
| | (3) | 3) Do they accomplish their purpose? | | | ✓ Yes | □No |
| C. | | es the Area have an alternative way to document good work a ident report? | the Area have an alternative way to document good work and minor deviations supplemental to the entreport? | | | □No |
| 9. A | TTIT | TUDES AND DISCIPLINE | Yes Yes | No No | CORRECTED | D |
| a. | Но | w do employees really feel about their work, their supervisors, | the role of traffic enforce | ement, etc.? Northern | Division e | employees, for |
| | the | most part, are highly motivated and demonstrate due respect | to their superiors. The | y take their assigned ro | les seriousl | y and |
| | per | rformance is generally above average. | | | | |
| | (1) | Do officers feel their work is a valuable contribution to the de | partmental operation? | | ✓ Yes | □No |
| | (2) | Are there frustrations in their work? | | | ✓ Yes | □No |
| | | (a) How can these frustrations be reduced? Noted frustra | tions are mitigated thro | ugh team building and | encouragin | g subordinates |
| | | to be a part of solution in respective to their assigned p | oositions. | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | (3) | Are employees familiar with recent changes in policy or proc | edure? | | ✓ Yes | □No |
| | (4) | Do the nonuniformed employees feel they are allowed to part the uniformed employees? | rticipate in Area function | s equally with | ✓ Yes | □No |
| | (5) | Do all employees get along well? | | | ✓ Yes | □No |
| | (6) | Are there problem individuals? | | | ✓ Yes | □No |
| | | (a) Are supervisors aware of these individuals, and are the | taking steps to change | their behavior? | ✓ Yes | □No |
| b. | ls t | here a positive motivation force present in the squad? | | | ✓ Yes | □No |
| | (1) | Is a climate created so that individuals want to do a good job |)? | | ✓ Yes | □No |
| C. | Are | the grievance and complaint procedures understood by all su | ✓ Yes | □No | | |
| | (1) | How do supervisors feel about the procedures? Supervisor | ıt's grievano | ce and | | |
| | | complaint procedures and follow them accordingly. | | | | |
| - | | | | | | |
| | (2) | If there has been a recent case filed, was it handled success | sfully? | | ☐ Yes | □No |
| | | (a) If no, did it properly proceed to the next appropriate level | el? | | ☐Yes | □No |
| | (3) | Are all grievances and complaints relating to contract interpresent with provisions contained in HPM 9.1, Employee Relations N | | Area in accordance | ✓ Yes | □No |
| | | | | | | |